

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTERU.S. Appln. No. 10/808,947
Reply to Office Action dated April 25, 2007

JUL 25 2007

PATENT
450100-04973**REMARKS/ARGUMENTS**

Reconsideration and withdrawal of the rejections of the application are respectfully requested in view of the amendments and remarks herewith, which place the application into condition for allowance. The present amendment is being made to facilitate prosecution of the application.

I. STATUS OF THE CLAIMS AND FORMAL MATTERS

Claims 1 and 3-8 are pending in this application. Claim 1, which is independent, is hereby amended. Claims 9-15 were previously canceled without prejudice or disclaimer of subject matter. No new matter has been introduced by this amendment. Changes to claims are not made for the purpose of patentability within the meaning of 35 U.S.C. §101, §102, §103, or §112.

II. 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) REJECTIONS

Claim 1 was rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as allegedly unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 5,311,286 to Pike in view of U.S. Publication No. 2003/0210329 to Aagaard, et al. and further in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,535,793 to Allard.

Claims 3, 5, 6, and 8 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as allegedly unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 5,311,286 to Pike in view of U.S. Publication No. 2003/0210329 to Aagaard, et al. and further in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,535,793 to Allard further in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,841,963 to Song, et al.

Claim 4 was rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as allegedly unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 5,3112,86 to Pike in view of U.S. Publication No. 2003/0210329 to Aagaard, et al.

U.S. Appl. No. 10/808,947
Reply to Office Action dated April 25, 2007

PATENT
450100-04973

al. and further in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,535,793 to Allard further in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,384,431 to Tusques, et al.

Claim 7 was rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as allegedly unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 5,311,286 to Pike in view of U.S. Publication No. 2003/0210329 to Aagaard, et al. and further in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,535,793 to Allard and further in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,850,024 to Peless, et al.

Claim 1 recites, *inter alia*:

“...a measurement unit adapted to measure the flatness of said detected flat face, and verifying the performance of a stereo camera according to whether or not the flatness is greater than a standard flatness,

wherein when the measured flatness of the detected flat face meets at least the standard flatness, performance of the diagnosing device is determined to be sufficient and a determination is made that a calibration is unnecessary, and

wherein when the measured flatness of the detected flat face is below the standard flatness, performance of the diagnosing device is determined to be insufficient and a determination is made that a calibration is necessary.”
(emphasis added)

As understood by Applicants, U.S. Patent No. 5,311,286 to Pike (hereinafter, merely “Pike”) relates to optically measuring the roughness or another dimension of a surface by transmitting a beam of light through a grating member at an angle of incidence relative to the grating member.

As understood by Applicants, U.S. Publication No. 2003/0210329 to Aagaard, et al. (hereinafter, merely “Aagaard”) relates to a multiple camera video system. The cameras are remotely controlled in a master-slave configuration. A camera operator at a master pan head selects one of the cameras as the current master and utilizes the master pan head to adjust the

U.S. Appln. No. 10/808,947
Reply to Office Action dated April 25, 2007

PATENT
450100-04973

telemetry and zoom of the master camera to follow a target object. The telemetry and zoom parameters are then used to calculate corresponding telemetry, zoom, and other parameters for each of the other cameras.

As understood by Applicants, U.S. Patent No. 6,535,793 to Allard (hereinafter, merely "Allard") relates to remote control of a mobile robot and an intuitive user interface for remotely controlling a mobile robot using a click and point device to choose a target location.

Applicants submit that nothing has been found in Pike, Aagaard or Allard, taken alone or in combination, that would teach or disclose the above-identified features of claim 1. Specifically, Applicants submit that Pike, Aagaard, and Allard fail to teach or suggest a measurement unit adapted to measure the flatness of said detected flat face, and verifying the performance of a stereo camera according to whether or not the flatness is greater than a standard flatness, wherein when the measured flatness of the detected flat face meets at least the standard flatness, performance of the diagnosing device is determined to be sufficient and a determination is made that a calibration is unnecessary, and wherein when the measured flatness of the detected flat face is below the standard flatness, performance of the diagnosing device is determined to be insufficient and a determination is made that a calibration is necessary, as recited in claim 1.

Therefore, claim 1 is patentable.

III. DEPENDENT CLAIMS

The other claims in this application are each dependent from one or another of the independent claims discussed above and are therefore believed patentable for the same reasons. Since each dependent claim is also deemed to define an additional aspect of the invention,

U.S. Appln. No. 10/808,947
Reply to Office Action dated April 25, 2007

JUL 25 2007 PATENT
450100-04973

however, the individual reconsideration of the patentability of each on its own merits is respectfully requested.

CONCLUSION

In the event the Examiner disagrees with any of statements appearing above with respect to the disclosures in the cited reference it is respectfully requested that the Examiner specifically indicate those portions of the reference providing the basis for a contrary view.

Please charge any additional fees that may be needed, and credit any overpayment, to our Deposit Account No. 50-0320.

In view of the foregoing amendments and remarks, it is believed that all of the claims in this application are patentable and Applicants respectfully request early passage to issue of the present application.

Respectfully submitted,
FROMMER LAWRENCE & HAUG LLP
Attorneys for Applicants

By 
Thomas F. Presson
Reg. No. 41,442
(212) 588-0800